

Speech/Debate Protest for 2017-2018

At a December tournament, a protest was filed against 2 students in DD. The protest claimed it violated the rule on page SPE 17, Section H, rule 9 (*Use of Profanity*). The complaint claimed the DD used profanity. The tournament manager investigated and found there was no violation of the rule, and the students were allowed to continue in the tournament.

At a tournament in December a protest was filed against a HI student claiming the student sat on the ground during his speech thus violating the rule on page SPE 15, section F, rule 7. The tournament manager investigated and found there was indeed a rule violation, and the student was disqualified and not allowed to continue.

In a tournament in January a protest was filed in the final round that claimed a DI violated the rule on page SPE8, Section F, Rule 8. The DI had many references that were considered possible profanity, obscenity or hate speech violations. The tournament manager investigated and found there was indeed a rule violation, and the student was disqualified and not allowed to continue.

At the last tournament of the qualifying season, a protest was filed claiming the debate team violated the rule on SPE18, Section I, rule 7 for profanity and obscenity. The tournament manager investigated and found there was indeed a rule violation, and the team was disqualified and not allowed to continue.

At a tournament there was a protest filed on an HI claiming that they violated rule XI, rule F on SPE 15 concerning profanity and obscenity. The tournament manager investigated and found there was indeed a rule violation, and the student was disqualified and not allowed to continue.