

Speech/Debate Protest for 2016-2017

At a December tournament, a protest was filed against a student in SO. The protest claimed it violated the rule on page SPE 15, Section XI, rule B2 (*Use of a script is prohibited*). The complaint claimed the student read from a script. An investigation was performed the student was disqualified and was not allowed to advance.

A protest was filed in February claiming a student violated rule on page SPE15, Rule XI, D3. (*Significant movement (i.e.: walking, pacing) is not allowed*). The protest claimed the student had significant movement, walked a few paces as well as jumping at one point. An investigation was performed the student was disqualified and was not allowed to advance.

In February a protest was filed claiming rule on page SPE 7, section VII rule A3 (*No student may enter more than three different events (including group events) in any tournament. A student may not enter both divisions of extemp or two or more divisions of debate in the same tournament. A student may not enter an event more than once at the same tournament.*) The protest claimed the student was entered and participated in 4 qualifying events. The tournament manager investigated it and found it to be true, and the student was disqualified.

In February a protest was filed claiming a student used a chair during an HI, which was in violation of the rule F6, section 9 on page SPE 16 "*Properties shall not be used*". The tournament manager investigated it and found it to be true, and the student was disqualified.

In February a protest was filed claiming for "*improper language superfluous to the integrity of the performance*". The protest claimed it used profanity, racial slurs and was possibly a hate speech. An investigation was performed the student was disqualified and was not allowed to advance.